

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/767,459	ANDERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3739

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Henry M. Johnson, III.

(3) _____

(2) Robert Schaffer.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 February 2007

Time: 9:03 AM EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

80

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant provided revised figure Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over to include claimed elements and amended claim 80 for clarity. Note: further action is required by the applicant at this time.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)